

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

IN RE INTUNIV ANTITRUST LITIGATION Civil Action No. 16-cv-12653-ADB (Lead)

This Document Relates to:  
*Direct Purchaser Actions*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
APPROVING DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS SETTLEMENT WITH ACTAVIS  
AND DISMISSING DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS CLAIMS AGAINST ACTAVIS**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) and in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement dated September 1, 2020 between plaintiff Meijer, Inc. and Meijer Distribution, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) individually and on behalf of the Direct Purchaser Class as defined below (“Direct Purchaser Class”) and defendant Actavis Elizabeth LLC, Actavis LLC, and Actavis Holdco US, Inc. (“Actavis”), it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. This Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Actavis. All capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. On September 24, 2019, the Court issued an order on Direct Purchasers’ motion for class certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 certifying the following Direct Purchaser Class:

All persons or entities in the United States and its territories, or subsets thereof, that purchased Intuniv and/or generic Intuniv in any form directly from Shire or Actavis, including any predecessor or successor of Shire or Actavis, from October 19, 2012 through June 1, 2015 (the “Class”). Excluded from the Class are Shire, Actavis, and any of their officers, directors, management, employees, subsidiaries, and affiliates, as well as governmental entities.

In the same order, the Court appointed Thomas M. Sobol and Lauren G. Barnes of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP as Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class.

3. On September 11, 2020, the Court issued an order on Direct Purchasers' motion for preliminary approval appointing Meijer as class representative for the Direct Purchaser Class for purposes of the Settlement.

4. The Plaintiff and Lead Counsel, along with additional counsel for Plaintiff and the Class ("Class Counsel"), have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Direct Purchaser Class and satisfied the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g).

5. The Court has jurisdiction over these actions, each of the parties, and all members of the Direct Purchaser Class for all manifestations of this case, including this Settlement.

6. The Notice of Settlement (substantially in the form presented to this Court as Exhibit B to the Settlement Agreement) (the "Notice") sent to the members of the Direct Purchaser Class via First Class Mail, and with best efforts exercised to also notify all the members of the Direct Purchaser Class by email, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances. In making this determination, the Court finds that the Notice provided for individual notice to all members of the Direct Purchaser Class who were identified through reasonable efforts. Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, the Court hereby finds that the Notice provided members of the Direct Purchaser Class due and adequate notice of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, these proceedings, and the rights of Direct Purchaser Class members to object to the Settlement.

7. Due and adequate notice of the proceedings having been given to the Direct Purchaser Class members and a full opportunity having been offered to them to participate in the December 9, 2020 Fairness Hearing, it is hereby determined that all Direct Purchaser Class members are bound by this Order and Final Judgment.

8. The Settlement of this Action was not the product of collusion between the Plaintiff and Actavis or their respective counsel, but rather was the result of *bona fide* and

extensive arm's-length negotiations conducted in good faith between Lead Counsel and counsel for Actavis.

9. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, the Court hereby approves the Settlement, and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to Direct Purchaser Class members and in their best interests. Accordingly, the Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10. The Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation of the Settlement Fund as proposed by Class Counsel (the "Plan of Allocation"), which was summarized in the Notice of Proposed Settlement and is attached to Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement, and directs A.B. Data, Ltd., the firm retained by Class Counsel as the Claims Administrator, to distribute the net Settlement Fund as provided in the Plan of Allocation.

11. All claims against Actavis in *In re Intuniv Antitrust Litigation*, C.A. No. 1:16-cv-12653-ADB (the "Action"), are hereby dismissed with prejudice, and without costs (other than as provided herein).

12. Upon the Settlement Agreement becoming final in accordance with Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff and all members of the Direct Purchaser Class (on behalf of themselves and their respective past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, joint ventures, stockholders, and general or limited partners, as well as their past, present, and future respective officers, directors, employees, trustees, insurers, agents, associates, attorneys, and any other representatives thereof, and the predecessors, heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of each of the foregoing), on their own behalf and as assignee or representative of any other entity, release Actavis (and its past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, joint ventures, stockholders, and general or limited partners, as well as their past, present, and future respective officers, directors, employees,

trustees, insurers, agents, associates, attorneys, and any other representatives thereof, and the predecessors, heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of each of the foregoing) (the “Actavis Releasees”) from all claims, rights, debts, obligations, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, damages whenever incurred, known or unknown, liabilities, including costs, expenses, penalties, and attorneys’ fees, that were or could have been brought against Actavis in this litigation relating to brand or generic Intuniv, or that arise out of or relate, in whole or in part in any manner, to:

(a) the subject matter of or acts, omissions, or other conduct alleged in the complaints in this Action, any prior complaints or subsequent amended complaints filed in this Action; (b) all claims concerning alleged delayed entry of generic versions of Intuniv that could have been asserted in this Action; and/or (c) any and all claims alleging that any agreement between Actavis and Shire relating to brand and/or generic Intuniv resulted in the delayed entry of generic versions of generic Intuniv (collectively, this entire paragraph the “Released Claims”).

Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Direct Purchaser Class shall not sue or otherwise seek to establish or impose liability against the Actavis Releasees based, in whole or in part, on any of the Released Claims.

13. In addition, Plaintiff and each member of the Direct Purchaser Class expressly waives and releases any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

Section 1542. General release—claims extinguished. A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Plaintiff and each member of the Direct Purchaser Class also expressly waives and releases any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or

equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code. Plaintiff and each member of the Direct Purchaser Class may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those that it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims that are the subject of this Paragraph, but Plaintiff and each member of the Direct Purchaser Class expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles and releases as to the Actavis Releasees only any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, accrued or unaccrued, contingent or non-contingent claim that would otherwise fall within the definition of Released Claims, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. For the avoidance of doubt, Plaintiff and each member of the Direct Purchaser Class also expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles and releases any and all claims that would otherwise fall within the definition of Released Claims it may have against any of the Actavis Releasees under § 17200, *et seq.*, of the California Business and Professions Code or any similar, comparable, or equivalent provision of the law of any other state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, which claims are expressly incorporated into the definition of Released Claims.

14. As set forth in Paragraph 12 of the Settlement Agreement (with subheading “Reservation of Claims”), notwithstanding the foregoing, Released Claims shall not include any claims arising in the ordinary course of business between Plaintiff and/or member(s) of the Direct Purchaser Class and the Released Parties concerning Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code (pertaining to sales), the laws of negligence, product liability or implied warranty, breach of warranty, breach of contract (other than breach of contract based in whole or in part on any of the Released Claims), or personal or bodily injury. No party other than the Actavis Releasees is intended to be, or is, included within the scope of the release contained herein. For the avoidance of doubt, neither Shire nor their future parent(s) or successor(s) in interest is intended to be, or is,

included within the scope of this release. This Settlement as to Actavis only is not intended to release any claims other than those specified in herein.

15. Upon the Settlement Agreement becoming final in accordance with Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Settlement Agreement, Actavis releases Plaintiff and the Class from all claims, regardless of legal theory, that would have been a compulsory counterclaim in this Action. Neither Actavis nor any other Actavis Releasee shall sue or otherwise seek to establish or impose liability against any Plaintiff and/or the Direct Purchaser Class for any and all claims, regardless of legal theory, that would have been a compulsory counterclaim in this Action.

16. Class Counsel have moved for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses. Class Counsel request an award of attorneys' fees of 33% of the Settlement amount (including the interest accrued thereon) and reimbursement of the reasonable costs and expenses incurred in the prosecution of this action in the amount of \$5,911,502. Such motion has been on the docket and otherwise publicly available since October 27, 2020.

17. Upon consideration of Class Counsel's petition for fees, costs and expenses, Class Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees totaling \$5,911,502 (representing 33 1/3% of the Settlement Fund after costs and expenses) and costs and expenses totaling \$2,165,475.18, together with a proportionate share of the interest thereon from the date the funds are deposited in the Settlement Escrow Account until payment of such attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, at the rate earned by the Settlement Fund, to be paid solely from the Settlement Fund and only if and after the Settlement becomes final in accordance with Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Settlement Agreement.

18. Lead Counsel shall allocate and distribute such attorneys' fees and expenses among the various Class Counsel which have participated in this litigation. The Releasees shall have no responsibility for, and no liability whatsoever with respect to, any payment or disbursement of attorneys' fees and expenses among Class Counsel nor with respect to any

allocation of attorneys' fees or expense to any other person or entity who may assert any claim thereto. The attorneys' fees and expenses authorized and approved by this Final Judgment and Order shall be paid to Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP within five days after this Settlement becomes final pursuant to Paragraph 5 of the Settlement Agreement or as soon thereafter as is practical and in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Escrow Agreement. The attorneys' fees and expenses authorized and approved by this Final Judgment and Order shall constitute full and final satisfaction of any and all claims that Plaintiff and any Direct Purchaser Class member, and their respective counsel, may have or assert for reimbursement of fees, costs, and expenses, and incentive awards against Actavis, and Plaintiffs and members of the Direct Purchaser Class, and their respective counsel, shall not seek or demand payment of any fees and/or costs and/or expenses and/or incentive awards from Actavis other than from the Settlement Fund.

19. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over the Settlement and the Settlement Agreement as described therein, including the administration and consummation of the Settlement, and over this Final Judgment and Order.

20. The Court finds that this Final Judgment and Order adjudicates all of the claims, rights and liabilities of the parties to the Settlement Agreement (including the members of the Class), and is final and shall be immediately appealable. Neither this Order nor the Settlement Agreement nor any other Settlement-related document shall constitute any evidence, admission, or concession by Actavis or any other Releasee, in this or any other matter or proceeding of any kind whatsoever, civil, criminal or otherwise, before any court, administrative agency, regulatory body, or any other body or authority, present or future, nor shall either the Settlement Agreement, this Order, or any other Settlement-related document be offered in evidence or used for any other purpose in this or any other matter or proceeding except as may be necessary to consummate or

enforce the Settlement Agreement, the terms of this Order, or if offered by any Releasee in responding to any action purporting to assert Released Claims, or if offered by any Releasor in asserting that a claim is not a Released Claim, including because such claim is covered by Paragraph 12 of the Settlement Agreement (“Reservation of Claims”).

SO ORDERED this 9th day of December, 2020

/s/ Allison D. Burroughs  
ALLISON D. BURROUGHS  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE